

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE             | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/789,556                      | 02/27/2004              | Anthony George Burns | 0108-0245           | 9235             |  |
| 90196<br>John J. Oskore         | 7590 10/23/200          | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |  |
| 980 North Mic                   | chigan Avenue, Suite 14 | BAYOU, YONAS A       |                     |                  |  |
| One Magnifice<br>Chicago, IL 60 | ent Mile Center<br>0611 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                                 |                         |                      | 2434                |                  |  |
|                                 |                         |                      |                     |                  |  |
|                                 |                         |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                                 |                         |                      | 10/23/2000          | ET ECTRONIC      |  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mail@securepatents.com portfolioprosecution@rim.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. |             | Applicant(s)          |  |  |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------------------|--|--|
|                 | 10/789,556  | BURNS, ANTHONY GEORGE |  |  |
|                 | Examiner    | Art Unit              |  |  |
|                 | YONAS BAYOU | 2434                  |  |  |

|   | YONAS BAYOU   |                                   | 2434   |  |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover si  | neet with the c                   | orrespondence add  | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A   | APPLICATION IN CO   | ONDITION FOR                      | R ALLOWANCE.   |  |
| <ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or<br/>application, applicant must timely file one of the following<br/>application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App<br/>for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (<br/>periods:</li> </ol>   | replies: (1) an amend<br>eal (with appeal fee)                                  | dment, affidavit<br>in compliance | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or           | hich places the<br>(3) a Request         |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing  | g date of the final reject  | ion.                              |  |  |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or   | later than SIX MONTHS   | from the mailing                  | date of the final rejection                                | n.                                       |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07  |   | (U) WITE IN THE                   | FINST KEFET WAS FI   | LED WITHIN 1440                          |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office are with the order of the set of the | tension and the corresp<br>shortened statutory peri<br>r than three months afte | oonding amount o                  | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was compared to the notice of Appeal has been filed.  | nsion thereof (37 CF  | R 41.37(e)), to                   | avoid dismissal of the                                     |  |
| AMENDMENTS  | numin the time period   | Sectional III ST                  | Si 1( 41.57 (u).   |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,   | but prior to the date of  | of filing a brief.                | will not be entered be                                     | cause                                    |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo   | nsideration and/or se   |                                   |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or   |   | y materially rec                  | lucing or simplifying to                                   | ne issues for                            |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a<br>NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  |   | er of finally reje                | ected claims.  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  | 21. See attached No   | tice of Non-Cor                   | mpliant Amendment (I                                       | PTOL-324).                               |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).   |   | in a separate, t                  | imely filed amendmer                                       | nt canceling the                         |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   |                                   | l be entered and an e                                      | xplanation of                            |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:   |   |                                   |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-7.9-22 and 32-34. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |                                   |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |                                   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |   |                                   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>   | overcome all rejection  | ns under appea                    | l and/or appellant fail:                                   | s to provide a                           |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |   |                                   |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but   | ut does NOT place the   | e application in                  | condition for allowan                                      | ce because:                              |

\_\_\_\_\_

12. ☐ Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement*(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ 13. ☑ Other: the amended claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 32, and 33 require further search and consideration.

15. M Other. the amended claims 1,

/Kambiz Zand/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434